# INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

#### Mining Plan Modification REPORT

#### Jabalpur regional office

 $\label{eq:mine_file_No} \textbf{Mine code} \; : \; \; \texttt{MP/RWA/BX-1} \qquad \qquad \\ \textbf{Mine code} \; : \; \textbf{07MPR33003}$ 

(i) Name of the Inspecting : SQ05 ) DEEPAK SHARMA

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Senior Mining Geologist

(iii) Accompaning mine : SHRI BANSAL MINE REPRESENTATIVE, QP SUSHIL BAJPAYEE

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 12-OCT-21

(v) Prev.inspection date :

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

l. (a) Mine Name : KUMHARA - JUDWANI

(b) Registration NO. :

(c) Category : B Manual
(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : MADHYA PRADESH

District : REWA

Village : KUMHARA JUDWANI

Taluka : SIRMOUR

Post office : KUMHARA JUDWANI

Pin Code :

Phone : 07675-23415, 22980, 27307

(f) Police Station

(g) First opening date : 01-NOV-83

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : M/S M. P. MINERAL SUPPLY CO.

correspondance P.O. JAITWARA, DIST. SATNA (M.P.) 485221

3. (a) Lease Number : MPR0832 (b) Lease area : 15.78 (c) Period of lease : 50

(d) Date of Expiry : 07-NOV-32

4. Mineral worked : BAUXITE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : M. P. MINERAL SUPPLY CO.

P.O. JAITWARA SATNA

MADHYA PRADESH Phone:N. A. FAX :N. A.

: M.P.MINERAL SUPPLY COMPANY Owner

POST- JAITWARA SATNA

MADHYA PRADESH

Phone: 07672-23415, 22

FAX : N. A.

6. Date of approval of Mining : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 11-JAN-97 Renewal under rule 22 MCR1960 19-MAR-04 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 06-MAY-14

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

### Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	NO BACKLOG OF PREVIOUS YEAR	NO BACKLOG OF PREVIOUS YEAR AS THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERTAION SINCE 2017- 18 AND YEAR 2018-19 TO 2020-21 IS LAPSE PERIOD	
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	IS TO BE DONE	AS ON DATE EXPLORATION IS DONE OVER G2 LEVEL	
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	NOT MENTIONED	NO EXPLORATION DONE IN THE LAST YEAR THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERTAION LAST TWO YEARS ARE LAPSE PERIOD	
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	15.78 HECT	TOTAL LEASE AREA IS 15.78 HECT, OUT OF WHICH 6.14 HECT EXPLORED UPTO G2 AND 9.64 HECT NOT EXPLORED YET THEREFORE TOTAL 15.78 HECT NEEDS TO EXPLORED UPTO G1	
1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	AS ON 27.10.2021 122-190645 222-55726	AS ON 27.10.2021 122-190645 222-55726	FRESH ESTIMAATION AS PER THE MEMC RULE 2015 DONE
1f	General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc			ML AREA BELONGS TO REWA SAND STONE OF VINDHYAN SUPER GROUP, BAUXITE OF THE AREA RESULTANT OF WEATHERING PROCESS BASE ROCK IS REWA SANDSTONE

### Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area		THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR AS THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18 & YEAR 2018-19 TO 2020-21 IS LAPSE PERIOD	THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18 & YEAR 2018-19 TO 2020-21 IS LAPSE PERIOD

2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR AS THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18 & YEAR 2018-19 TO 2020-21 IS LAPSE PERIOD	
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR AS THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18 & YEAR 2018-19 TO 2020-21 IS LAPSE PERIOD	
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR AS THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18 & YEAR 2018-19 TO 2020-21 IS LAPSE PERIOD	
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR AS THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18 & YEAR 2018-19 TO 2020-21 IS LAPSE PERIOD	
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc			THERE WAS SMALL PITS WERE PRESENT IN THE ML AREA OF OLD WORKING. THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18 & YEAR 2018-19 TO 2020-21 IS LAPSE PERIOD

### Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR AS THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18 & YEAR 2018-19 TO 2020-21 IS LAPSE PERIOD	THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18 & YEAR 2018-19 TO 2020-21 IS LAPSE PERIOD
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR AS THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18	

3c	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	PROPOSAL OF	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR AS THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	NOT APPLICABLE
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	PROPOSAL OF	NOT APPLICABLE
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	NOT APPLICABLE
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	NOT APPLICABLE
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	PROPOSAL OF	NOT APPLICABLE
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	PROPOSAL OF	NOT APPLICABLE
3ј	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	NOT APPLICABLE
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	NOT APPLICABLE
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	NOT APPLICABLE
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	PROPOSAL OF	AS ON DATE 2.66 HECT AREA ALREADY BROKEN

3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	PROPOSAL OF	NOT APPLICABLE	
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	PROPOSAL OF	AS ON DATE 2.66 HECT AREA BROKEN	
3p	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable	2015-16=16744 2016-17=16100 2017-18=16744 2018-19=Lapse Period	2015-16=0 2016-17=0	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR AS THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18
3q	General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.			THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18 & YEAR 2018-19 TO 2020-21 IS LAPSE PERIOD

# Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a		PROPOSAL LAST	AS THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATION SINCE 2017-18	
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps		THERE WERE ONE OLD DUMP LOCATED IN THE ML AREA WITH HEIGJHT APPROX 1- 1.5 M HEIGHT	
4c		PROPOSAL LAST	ONE OLD DUMP WAS LOCATED IN THE ML AREA OF 1-1.5 M HEIGHT	
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)		WITHIN THE UPL AS PER LATEST PROPOSAL	
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.		ONE INACTIVE DUMP	

4 f	Number of dead dumps.	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL LAST YEAR	NIL	
4g	Number of dumps established.	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL LAST YEAR	NOT APPLICABLE	
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	PROPOSAL LAST	NOT APPLICABLE	
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	PROPOSAL LAST	NOT APPLICABLE	
4 ј	Number of settling ponds	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL LAST YEAR	NOT APPLICABLE	
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management			THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18 & YEAR 2018-19 TO 2020-21 IS LAPSE PERIOD

# Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	HOWEVER AS PER THE MCDR FULL EXTRACTION OF MINERAL IS PROPOSED IN THE LATEST APPROVED MINING PLAN	
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area		NOT APPLICABLE	
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)		NOT APPLICABLE	

5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	NOT APPLICABLE	
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.		THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18 & YEAR 2018-19 TO 2020-21 IS LAPSE PERIOD

# Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	PMCP REPORT NOT SUBMITTED DUE TO CLOSSING OF THE MINE	
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	NOT APPLICABLE	
6c	afforestation done (ha).	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	NOT APPLICABLE	
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	NOT APPLICABLE	
6e	Cumulative no .of plants	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	NOT APPLICABLE	
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	PROPOSAL OF	NIL	

### Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	NOT APPLICABLE IN TEH LATEST PROPOSAL WITHIN LEASE AREA GRADE WISE SORTING PROPOSED	

7b	wise mineral	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	IN THE LATETS PROPOSAL HAND SORTING PROPOSED	
7c	of mineral	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	NIL	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines .	PROPOSAL OF	NOT APPLICABLE	
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues			THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18 & YEAR 2018-19 TO 2020-21 IS LAPSE PERIOD

#### Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	PROPOSAL OF	SEPARATE REMOVAL	
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil		NIL	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	PROPOSAL OF	NIL	
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	NIL	

8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	NIL	
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	NIL	
8g	Survival rate	THERE WAS NO PROPOSAL OF LAST YEAR	NIL	
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	PROPOSAL OF	NIL	
8i 	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area			THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATIONAL SINCE 2017-18 & YEAR 2018-19 TO 2020-21 IS LAPSE PERIOD NEEDS IMPROVEMENTS

# Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	MR SUBMITTED UPTO JAN -2022 AR SUBMITTED UPTO 2016-17		VOILATION POINTED OUT

9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	AR SUBMITTED UPTO 2016-17 ONLY NO DETAILS REGARDING MINING ENGINEER AND GEOLOGIST AVAILABLE AS THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATION SINCE 2017-18
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	ONLY NO DETAILS REGARDING AREA
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	AR SUBMITTED UPTO 2016-17 ONLY NO DETAILS REGARDING MINERAL REJECT AVAILABLE AS THE MINE WAS NOT IN OPERATION SINCE 2017-18
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	

9g Scrutiny of AR SUBMITTED Annual return on UPTO 2016-17 sale value, Ex. ONLY NO

DETAILS

Mine price and production cost

REGARDING SALE

VALUE, EX MINE

PRICE PRODUCTION

COST

AVAILABLE AS THE MINE WAS

NOT IN OPERATION SINCE 2017-18

9k Scrutiny of Annual return on UPTO 2016-17

mining machineries AR SUBMITTED ONLY NO DETAILS

REGARDING MACHINERIES AVAILABLE AS THE MINE WAS

NOT IN OPERATION SINCE 2017-18

PAGE : 13

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out				
Viola	tion observed	Show couse position		
Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on	

Date : (DEEPAK SHARMA)

Indian Bureau of Mines